

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO | |
|--|-------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|--|
| 09/848,828 | 05/04/2001 | Zachary A. James | RSW9-2001-0077-US1 7479 | | |
| 7590 03/10/2005 | | | EXAMINER | | |
| Gregory S. Bernabeo, Esq. | | | RIES, LAURIE ANNE | | |
| Synnestvedt & Lechner LLP 2600 Aramark Tower | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| 1101 Market Street | | | 2176 | | |
| Philadelphia, PA 19107-2950 | | | DATE MAILED: 03/10/2005 | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| Advisory Action | | | | | | |
|------------------------------------|----|--|--|--|--|--|
| Before the Filing of an Appeal Bri | ef | | | | | |

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|-----------------|--------------|--|
| 09/848,828 | JAMES ET AL. | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | |
| Laurie Ries | 2176 | |

| Advisory Action | 09/848,828 | 48,828 JAMES ET AL. | | | | | |
|--|---|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | | |
| | Laurie Ries | 2176 | | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the o | correspondence add | ress | | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>03 March 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF | HE REPLY FILED 03 March 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. | | | | | | |
| The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to filing must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amend condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. Th | lment, affidavit, or other evidence, weal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR or ereply must be filed within one of t | which places the appli 41.31; or (3) a Reque | ication in st for Continued | | | | |
| a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. | | | | | | | |
| no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or | ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE | g date of the final rejection | on. | | | | |
| TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL | on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da). | of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offi te of the final rejection, e | iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as even if timely filed, | | | | |
| The reply was filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing an appeal brief. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). MENDMENTS | | | | | | | |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, | but prior to the date of filing a brief | , will <u>not</u> be entered b | ecause | | | | |
| (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); | | | | | | | |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be | (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for | | | | | | |
| appeal; and/or | | | | | | | |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a | | jected claims. | | | | | |
| NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 | | omoliant Amendment | (PTOL-324). | | | | |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) | | Impliant Amondment | (1.02.02.1). | | | | |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). | llowable if submitted in a separate, | | | | | | |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | ☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ will will be w | ill be entered and an e | explanation of | | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-30</u> . | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | | | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but | ut before or on the date of filing a N | lotice of Anneal will no | ot he entered | | | | |
| because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | nd sufficient reasons why the affida | vit or other evidence i | s necessary and | | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar | overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented. S | eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(| ils to provide a (1). | | | | |
| | 0. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. | | | | | | |
| REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: | | | | | | | |
| 11. In a request for reconsideration has been considered by | ut does NOT place the application | in condition for allowa | nce because. | | | | |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). | (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper | No(s) | | | | | |
| 13. Other: | Sy | 1 | | | | | |
| | SANJI | / SHAH | | | | | |
| | PRIMARY | EXAMINER | | | | | |

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Application No.

Applicants' amendment, dated 3 March 2005, is not entered because claims 1, 15, and 25, as amended by Applicants, as well as newly added claim 31, raise new issues which would require further consideration and search (see MPEP seciton 714.13).

Specifically, amended claim 1 now presents the limitation that both the special purpose processor and the general purpose processor are provided on the printed circuit board. Claim 25 also adds the limitation of a local communications bus connected to the general purpose processor and the special purpose processor, the special purpose processor configured to receive a document from the general purpose processor, process the document, and communicate the processed document to a target.

Inasmuch as the presently presented amendment changes the scope of the claims, and hence, presents new issues which necessitate further search and consideration, Applicants' Amendment is accordingly not entered.